

7066 Norway Road Neeses, South Carolina

Grades PK-6 Elementary School

Enrollment 364 Students

PrincipalDebra W. Norman803-263-4441SuperintendentDr. Thomas Sparks803-534-8081Board ChairMr. Aaron Rudd803-534-8081

2010 REPORT CARD

RATINGS OVER 5-YEAR PERIOD YEAR ABSOLUTE RATING GROWTH RATING 2010 At-Risk At-Risk Below Average

 2010
 At-Risk
 At-Risk

 2009
 At-Risk
 Below Average

 2008
 At-Risk
 Average

 2007
 At-Risk
 Good

 2006
 At-Risk
 At-Risk

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- At-Risk School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision

SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL

By 2010, SC's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE VISION

By 2020 all students will graduate with the knowledge and skills necessary to compete successfully in the global economy, participate in a democratic society and contribute positively as members of families and communities.

http://ed.sc.gov http://www.eoc.sc.gov


Percent of Student PASS Records Matched for Purpose of Computing Growth Rating

Percent of students tested in 2009-10 whose 2008-09 test scores were located

98.6%

Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average	At-Risk						
0	4	84	58	23						

^{*} Ratings are calculated with data available by 03/09/2011.



^{*} Elementary schools with Students Like Ours are elementary schools with poverty indices of no more than 5% above or below the index for the school.

Definition of Critical Terms								
Exemplary	"Exemplary" means the student demonstrated exemplary performance in meeting the grade level standard.							
Met	"Met" means the student met the grade level standard.							
Not Met	"Not Met" means that the student did not meet the grade level standard.							

School Profile

Control Profile	Our School	Change from Last Year	Elementary Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Elementary School
Students (n=364)				
First graders who attended full-day kindergarten	100.0%	No Change	100.0%	100.0%
Retention rate	3.7%	Up from 1.9%	1.4%	1.2%
Attendance rate	95.5%	Down from 96.8%	95.9%	96.1%
Eligible for gifted and talented	3.0%	Up from 1.8%	4.6%	11.7%
With disabilities other than speech	5.2%	Up from 4.0%	8.4%	8.0%
Older than usual for grade	1.0%	Down from 1.5%	0.8%	0.4%
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent and/or criminal offenses	0.0%	No Change	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n=26)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	76.9%	Up from 67.9%	60.0%	60.5%
Continuing contract teachers	88.5%	Up from 82.1%	78.9%	84.6%
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	0.0%	No Change	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers returning from previous year	88.5%	Up from 85.6%	83.2%	87.0%
Teacher attendance rate	92.8%	Up from 92.6%	95.4%	95.4%
Average teacher salary*	\$50,211	Up 0.3%	\$45,499	\$47,288
Professional development days/teacher	17.8 days	Up from 9.8 days	10.6 days	10.5 days
School				
Principal's years at school	11.0	Up from 10.0	3.0	4.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	20.9 to 1	Up from 18.5 to 1	17.2 to 1	19.2 to 1
Prime instructional time	86.5%	Down from 88.8%	90.4%	90.8%
Opportunities in the arts	Good	No Change	Good	Good
SACS accreditation	Yes	No Change	Yes	Yes
Parents attending conferences	100.0%	No Change	100.0%	100.0%
Character development program	Excellent	No Change	Excellent	Excellent
Dollars spent per pupil**	\$6,461	Up 2.2%	\$8,706	\$7,548
Percent of expenditures for instruction**	86.3%	Down from 86.4%	68.0%	68.7%
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries**	82.2%	Up from 81.8%	62.4%	65.1%

^{*} Includes current year teachers contracted for 185 or more days.

^{**} Prior year audited financial data are reported.

Report of Principal and School Improvement Council

Hunter-Kinard-Tyler Elementary School was named a Red Carpet School for 2009-2010! This year we took extra pride in ensuring that our campus was inviting to all visitors and that we warmly welcomed everyone to our school. We are excited about this honor.

In an effort to achieve academic success for every student, our school's theme this year was "Restructuring: The Road to Academic Success." This theme permeated throughout the building to acknowledge that we made changes in many areas to improve student achievement.

Our Gifted and Talented Education program was expanded to allow more students to take advantage of higher-level thinking practices. We increased the number of students in our GT classes from 20 to 40 and we increased from 1 GT class to 2. Additionally, we increased from 1 subject (ELA) to all subjects (ELA, Math, Science, and Social Studies). Students used laptops to do most of their work. Students also completed many academic projects and conducted several class and school presentations.

To improve writing in all areas, we held quarterly school-wide writing assessments in grades 2-6 so that students would be prepared for the PASS Writing Assessment.

We used computers for assistance with instruction and assessment (Accelerated Reader, First in Math, Academy of Reading, MAP) to increase reading and mathematics proficiency and computer use. Several classes used mimeo boards for technology instruction.

We encourage our parents and community members to be actively involved in our children's lives. The school has several programs that permit them to work with our students. The Dynamic Dads organization was directed by volunteers in the school and sponsored various activities for students such as the Spring Dance for upper elementary students. Our School Improvement Council (SIC) assisted the school in planning activities for school improvement and community service. Once again, the SIC co-sponsored our Writing Expo and this year, and they sponsored our school beautification project. Our family nights are dedicated toward involving and familiarizing parents with activities that they can do with their children at home. These cover one of the core areas of reading, math, science, social studies, along with wellness and astivities. A new program this year was Rocking Chair Readers, where parents, grandparents and other community members read to our students, allowed our students read to them, and they helped students learn to read.

At H-K-T Elementary, students are provided an opportunity to participate in a rigorous and relevant academic curriculum that will prepare them for secondary training, post-secondary training, and their chosen careers.

Kimberly Bonnett, SIC Chair

Debra W. Norman, Principal

Evaluations by Teachers, Students and Parents									
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*						
Number of surveys returned	26	45	35						
Percent satisfied with learning environment	73.1%	86.7%	66.7%						
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	73.1%	81.8%	82.4%						
Percent satisfied with school-home relations	57.7%	86.7%	66.7%						

^{*} Only students at the highest elementary school grade level and their parents were included.

No Child Left Behind

School Adequate Yearly Progress

NO

This school met 10 out of 13 objectives. The objectives included student performance, graduation rate or student attendance, and participation in the state testing program.

Definition: As required by the United States Department of Education, Adequate Yearly Progress specifies that the statewide target is met for "All Students" and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency in the areas of English/Language Arts and Mathematics, as well as meeting the statewide target for "All Students" for attendance or graduation rate.

School Improvement Status

School	Improvement Key
NI	Newly Identified-The school missed adequate yearly progress (AYP) for two years. Sanction: Offer school choice.
	Continuing School Improvement-The school missed AYP for three years. Sanctions: Continue school choice and implement supplemental services.
CA	Corrective Action-The school missed AYP for four years. Sanction: Continue school choice and supplemental services. The school district takes a corrective action.
Kh.	Plan to Restructure-Sanctions: Continue school choice and supplemental services. Develop a plan to restructure. If the school misses AYP the next year, the school implements the restructuring plan.
R	Restructure-The school missed AYP after two years of corrective action. Sanctions: Implement the restructuring plan. Continue school choice and supplemental services.
DELAY	The school met AYP in all subgroups and the indicator for one year, thus the delay provision applies. The school remains in the same status as last year and is referred to as in "Delay."
HOLD	The school made progress for one year in the subject area that identified the school for school improvement. The school remains in the same status as last year and is referred to as in "Hold."

Teacher Quality and Student Attendance		
	Our District	State
Classes in low poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers	N/A	1.9%
Classes in high poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers	0.0%	5.6%

	Our School	State Objective	Met State Objective
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	0.0%	0.0%	Yes
Student attendance rate	95.5%	94.0%*	Yes

^{*} Or greater than last year

HUNTER-KINARD-TYLER ELEMENTARY 03/09/11-3804055										
PASS Performance B	y Group									
	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Not Met	% Met	% Exemplary	School % Met or Exemplary*	District % Met or Exemplary*	State % Met or Exemplary*	Performance Objective Met	Participation Objective Met
English/Lang	uage Art	s - Stat	e Perfor	mance	Objectiv	e = 58.	8% (Me	t or Exe	mplary)	
All Students	206	100	55.2	32.3	12.5	63	74.6	83.5	Yes	Yes
Gender										
Male	96	100	53.3	35.6	11.1	61.1	72.6	80.1	N/A	N/A
Female	110	100	56.9	29.4	13.7	64.7	76.7	87	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group										
White	32	100	52.2	13	34.8	82.6	81.9	89.6	I/S	I/S
African American	165	100	55.9	34.2	9.9	60.9	67.3	74.6	Yes	Yes
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	92.7	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	5	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	60	79.6	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	1	I/S	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	66.7	85.1	I/S	I/S
Disability Status Disabled	28	100	66.7	29.2	4.2	41.7	42	51.7	I/S	I/S
Migrant Status	20	100	00.7	29.2	4.2	41.7	42	31.7	1/3	1/3
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	69.5	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency	U	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	09.5	IN/A	IN/A
Limited English Proficient	3	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	61.1	79	I/S	I/S
Socio-Economic Status	0	1/0	1/0	1/0	1/0	1/0	01.1	13	1/0	1/0
Subsidized meals	193	100	55.5	33	11.5	62.6	70.7	76.9	Yes	Yes
		1	ı		I	1		l	l	
Mathema							Met or E			
All Students	206	100	67.7	29.2	3.1	50.5	72.4	80.4	No	Yes
Gender										
Male	96	100	63.3	32.2	4.4	48.9	72.2	78.4	N/A	N/A
Female	110	100	71.6	26.5	2	52	72.6	82.5	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group White	20	100	47.0	42.5	0.7	00.0	04.0	07.0	1/0	I/S
African American	32 165	100 100	47.8 70.2	43.5 27.3	8.7 2.5	69.6 48.4	81.6 62.6	87.8 69.3	I/S No	Yes
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1/S	93.5	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	5	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	72	78.3	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	1	I/S	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	66.7	83.2	I/S	I/S
Disability Status										
Disabled	28	100	91.7	4.2	4.2	12.5	33.7	46.1	I/S	I/S
Migrant Status										
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	71.4	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency										
Limited English Proficient	3	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	72.2	78.9	I/S	I/S
Socio-Economic Status										
Subsidized meals	193	100	69.2	28	2.7	49.5	67.6	72.8	No	Yes

^{*} Adjusted to account for natural variation in performance.

LILINITED IZINI	ARD-TYLER FL	
	ARIJIYI ER EI	HIMHMIARY

03/09/11-3804055

HUNTER-KINARD-TITLER ELEMENTART 03/09/11-3604055												
PASS Performance By	PASS Performance By Group											
	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Not Met	% Met	% Exemplary	School % Met or Exemplary	District % Met or Exemplary	State % Met or Exemplary				
			Scien	ice								
All Students	129	100	78.8	19.5	1.7	21.2	55.5	67.3				
Gender												
Male	54	100	74	24	2	26	59.4	66.9				
Female	75	100	82.4	16.2	1.5	17.6	51.3	67.7				
Racial/Ethnic Group												
White	20	100	N/A	N/A	N/A	50	68	79.6				
African American	104	100	82	16	2	18	41.5	49.7				
Asian/Pacific Islander	0 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	84.4 59.4				
Hispanic American Indian/Alaskan	1	I/S I/S	I/S N/A	I/S N/A	I/S N/A	I/S N/A	47.1 I/S	69.5				
Disability Status	'	1/3	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	1/3	09.5				
Disabled	14	100	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	23.7	33.8				
Migrant Status	17	100	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	20.1	33.0				
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	36.5				
English Proficiency	·	14/71	14/71	14/71	14/71	14/7 (14/71	00.0				
Limited English Proficient	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	58.6				
Socio-Economic Status		., 0	.,,0	., 0	., 0	., 0	., 0	00.0				
Subsidized meals	121	100	80.4	17.9	1.8	19.6	48.5	55.4				
	'	1	Į.	1	į ·							
All OL I	404	00.5	Social S			00.0	54.0	70.0				
All Students	131	98.5	66.7	32.5	0.8	33.3	54.6	70.9				
Gender Male	62	96.8	63.2	35.1	1.8	36.8	57.8	70.1				
Female	69	100	N/A	N/A	N/A	30.0	50.9	71.7				
Racial/Ethnic Group	03	100	14/71	14/74	14/71	00.2	00.5	71.7				
White	22	95.5	42.9	50	7.1	57.1	65.7	79.2				
African American	102	99	N/A	N/A	N/A	30.3	42.7	58.4				
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	86.8				
Hispanic	4	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	63.2	68				
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	71.2				
Disability Status												
Disabled	20	100	76.5	17.6	5.9	23.5	21.2	39.3				
Migrant Status												
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	55				
English Proficiency												
Limited English Proficient	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	64.3	68				
Socio-Economic Status												
Subsidized meals	121	98.4	67.9	31.3	0.9	32.1	48	60.8				

HUNTER-KINARD-TYLER ELEMENTARY 03/09/11-3804055										
PASS Performance By Group										
	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Not Met	% Met	% Exemplary	School % Met or Exemplary	District % Met or Exemplary	State % Met or Exemplary	School Attendance Rate	District Attendance Rate
				Writing						
All Students	205	98.5	60.2	31.9	7.9	39.8	54.4	72.1	95.5	95.2
Gender										
Male	95	96.8	64.8	30.7	4.5	35.2	48	65.2	94.8	94.8
Female	110	100	56.3	33	10.7	43.7	61.5	79.2	96	95.6
Racial/Ethnic Group										
White	30	96.7	43.5	39.1	17.4	56.5	62.8	80.8	94.3	94.8
African American	166	98.8	62.5	30.6	6.9	37.5	45.5	59.7	95.8	95.6
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	87	N/A	93.7
Hispanic	5	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	48.1	64.6	94.6	95.9
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	50	73.4	93.5	94.8
Disability Status										
Disabled	27	96.3	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	4.2	10.5	27.7	94.9	94.5

Migrant Status Migrant

English Proficiency

Subsidized meals

Limited English Proficient Socio-Economic Status

N/A

3

194

N/AV

I/S

98.5

N/A

I/S

61.9

N/A

I/S

31.5

N/A

I/S

6.6

N/A

I/S

38.1

N/A

42.1

48.4

63.5

63.7

61.9

N/A

97.4

95.5

N/A

96.3

94.9

HOWER MINING THE ELEMENTATION OF THE STATE O										
PASS Performance By Grade Level										
	Grade	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Not Met	% Met	% Exemplary	% Met or Exemplary			
			Englis	h/Language A	rts					
	3	50	100	63	23.9	13	37			
2009	3 4	48	100	65.9	20.5	13.6	34.1			
		46	100	34.1	50	15.9	65.9			
2	5 6	65	100	47.5	44.3	8.2	52.5			
,	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
$\overline{}$	3	54	100	52.9	29.4	17.6	47.1			
	4	53	100	66	21.3	12.8	34			
2010		46	100	54.8	38.1	7.1	45.2			
2	5 6	53	100	48.1	40.4	11.5	51.9			
• •	7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
			IV	lathematics						
	3	50	100	78.3	17.4	4.3	21.7			
2009	4	48	100	61.4	34.1	4.5	38.6			
	5	46	100	52.3	43.2	4.5	47.7			
3 0	6	65	100	34.4	54.1	11.5	65.6			
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	3	54	100	78.4	15.7	5.9	21.6			
0	4	53	100	N/A	N/A	N/A	29.8			
)1	5	46	100	76.2	21.4	2.4	23.8			
2010	6 7	53	100	48.1	48.1	3.8	51.9			
	7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
				Science						
	3	25	100	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	16.7			
6	3 4	48	100	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	34.1			
2	5	23	100	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	36.4			
2009	6	34	100	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	61.3			
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
2010	3	27	100	84	12	4	16			
	4	53	100	N/A	N/A	N/A	21.3			
	5 6	23	100	N/A	N/A	N/A	14.3			
		26	100	68	28	4	32			
	7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			

		,				00,00	/ 1 1 000 1000				
PASS Performance By Grade Level											
	Grade	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Not Met	% Met	% Exemplary	% Met or Exemplary				
Social Studies											
	3	25	100	68.2	27.3	4.5	31.8				
6	4	48	100	63.6	34.1	2.3	36.4				
2009	5	23	100	50	40.9	9.1	50				
7(5 6	31	100	50	46.7	3.3	50				
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
	3	27	96.3	84	12	4	16				
0	4	53	100	N/A	N/A	N/A	38.3				
2010	5	24	95.8	N/A	N/A	N/A	28.6				
2	6	27	100	N/A	N/A	N/A	44.4				
	7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
	8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
Writing											
	3	50	100	55.3	19.1	25.5	44.7				
6	4	50	96	51.1	42.2	6.7	48.9				
2009	5	46	100	38.6	45.5	15.9	61.4				
2	6	66	100	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	54.8				
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
	3	52	98.1	62	28	10	38				
0	4	52	100	66	27.7	6.4	34				
2010	5	48	95.8	66.7	28.6	4.8	33.3				
2	6	53	100	48.1	42.3	9.6	51.9				
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				